विवरण

क्रम सं॰	राज्य का नाम	राजधानी शहर का नाम	30.9.91 की स्थिति के अनुसार प्रतीक्षा सूची
1.	आन्ध्र प्रदेश	हैदराबाद	67491
2.	असम	गुवाहाटी	5627
3.	बिहार	पटना	6193
4.	गुजरात	गांधी नगर	883
5.	हरियाणा	चंडीगढ़	37066
6.	हिमाचल प्रदेश	शिमला	3914
7.	जम्मू और कश्मीर	जम्मू श्रीनगर	6339 7673
8.	केरल	त्रिवेन्द्रम	16895
9.	कर्नाटक	बेंगलूर	57523
10.	महाराष्ट्र	बम्बई	245832
11.	गोवा	पणजी	3128
12.	मध्य प्रदेश	भोपाल	4850
13.	अरूणाचल प्रदेश	ईटानगर	12
14.	मणिपुर	इम्फाल	1793
15.	मेघालय	शिलांग	1479
16.	मिजोरम	आइजोल	669
17.	नागालैण्ड	कोहिमा	200
18.	त्रिपुरा	अगरतला	990
19	उड़ीसा	भुवनेश्वर	2339
20.	पंजाब	चंडीगढ़	37066
21.	राजस्थान	जयपुर	46628
22.	तमिलनाडु	मद्रास	83910
23.	उत्तर प्रदेश	लखनऊ	7254
24.	पश्चिम बंगाल	कलकत्ता	45846
25.	सिक्किम	गंगटोक	332
26.	दिल्ली केंद्र शासित प्रदेश	दिल्ली (देश की राजधानी)	314851
27.	राजस्थान के शहर:	अजमेर जोधपुर कोटा उदयपुर	4499 9608 7147 8057

Time limit for M.T.N.L. to sanction telephone connection

108. SHRI SYED SIBTEY RAZI: Will the Minister of COMMUNICATIONS be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have fixed some time limit for installation of telephone when sanctioned on priority;

(b) if so, what are the details thereof;

(c) if not, what are the reasons therefor;

(d) whether some time limit has also been fixed for M.T.N.L. to issue formal release orders when telephone connections are sanctioned on priority basis;

(e) if so, what are the details thereof; and

(f) if not, what are the reasons therefor?

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS SHRI P.V. RANGAYYA NAIDU: (a) to (f) The Chief General Managers Telecom / Telephones and M.T.N.L. have been advised to install the telephone connectins sanctioned on priority as early as possible within a maximum period of one month subject to technical feasibility and observance of departmental formalities.

Details of Bank Credit Ratio

109. SHRI YASHWANT SINHA: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that bank credit grew by 17.3 per cent during the year ending September 1991 as against 16 per cent during the corresponding period last year;

(b) if so, what was the growth registered in bank credit during the same period; and

(c) what are the state-wise figures of deposits and credits during this period?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI DALBIR SINGH): (a) and (b) the growth rate of bank credit during the year ending September, 1991 was 12.2% as against 18.2% during the corresponding period last year. However, the deposit growths for the corresponding periods were 17.3% and 16.0%.

(c) State-wise figures of deposits and credit of all Scheduled commercial banks as at the end of September, 1991 is given in the Statement.

Statement

State-wise Deposits and Advances of All Scheduled Commercial Banks as at the end of September 1991. (Amount in Rs. crores)

S.No.	State/U.T.	Deposits	Advances
1.	Haryana	4173	2340
2.	Himachal Pradesh	1491	508
3.	Jammu & Kashmir	1984	857
4.	Punjab	10139	4472
5.	Rajasthan	5809	3142
6.	Chandigarh	1462	1499
7.	Delhi	18874	13915
8.	Arunachal Pradesh	145	24
9.	Assam	2317	1126
10.	Manipur	101	75
11.	Meghalaya	370	70
12.	Mizoram	89	23
13.	Nagaland	216	85
14.	Tripura	292	169
15.	Bihar	9463	3760
16.	Orissa	2745	2068
17.	Sikkim	110	28
18.	West Bengal	19441	10394
19.	A & N Islands	58	19
20.	Madhya Pradesh	8054	5146
21.	Uttar Pradesh	21574	9335
22.	Goa	1522	497
23.	Gujarat	12527	7021
24.	Maharashtra	45506	29637
25.	D & N Haveli	17	8
26.	Daman & Diu	66	14
27.	Andhra Pradesh	11380	9063
28.	Karnataka	10239	8276
29.	Kerala	8540	4616
30.	Tamil Nadu	13975	13473
31.	Lakshadweep	10	2
32.	Pondicherry	335	165
	ALL INDIA	213024	131827

Marketing of India Development Bonds by Foreign Banks

110. SHRI YASHWANT SINHA: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that originally only SBI was allowed to market the India Development Bonds;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) whether foreign banks were also later allowed to market these bonds;

(d) if so, the names of foreign banks so allowed and since when; and

(e) what are the terms and conditions on which they have been allowed and how do these terms and conditions compare with those given to SBI?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI RAMESHWAR THAKUR): (a) and (b) SBI Capital Market was given permission for issue of India Development Bonds to NRIs/OCBs/banks acting in a fiduciary capacity on behalf on NRIs/OCBs. (Later they were allowed to make efforts for involving foreign banks and Indian banks having branches abroad and other Indian banks for the purposes of marketing IDBs).

(c) and (d) SBI made offers to foreign banks for the marketing of India Development Bonds on 7.12.91. This offer was accepted by the following foreign banks:—

—Bank of America
—Banque Indo-Suez
—ANZ Grindlays Bank
—Hongkong and Shanghai Banking Corporation
—Bank of Baharain Kuwait
—ABN Bank
—British Bank of the Middle East
—American Express Bank
—Citi Bank
—Deutsche Bank
—Sociate Generals
—Oman International Bank

(e) The main terms and conditions on which the offer was made for marketing of India Development Bonds are as per the list attached. (*see* below) Since the other banks were involved in marketing the bonds and not issuing the same in their names the question of comparing the terms and conditions does not arise.